

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/560,446	MUEHLHAUSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Charles G. Freay	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**(1) *Charles G. Freay*.**Status of Application:** *pending*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) *Alfred Froebich*.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** *15 May 2009***Time:** *2:00 pm***Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment were agreed to. the examiner explained that the Shelhart reference disclose an arrangement were the rotor (38') could be rotated 180 degrees about its central axis and be connected to the shaft again in the same or single direction. However, the rotor would not be in the same position.